Weighting Factor Development for Gateway Stakeholder Group

	Criteria	1	2	3	FINAL
		# of votes for weights 1-3			Weight
Regional Goals	Identify and address the water dependent natural resources needs of the Gateway Region Watersheds.	n/a	n/a	_ n/a	3
	Protect and enhance water quality. <i>Objectives: Attain required TMDL levels in accordance with their individual schedules; Effectively reduce major sources of pollutants and environmental stressors in the region.</i>	n/a	n/a ^{mujx} e	n/a	3
	Optimize and ensure water supply reliability. <i>Objectives: Continue and enhance water use efficiency measures</i> to meet 20X2020 per capita water use targets; Expand regional water recycling facilities and recycled water distribution to help provide reliable water sources;Systematically upgrade aging water infrastructure in the Region.	n/a	tine ne chosu v/a chosu an as Maximu.	n/a	3
	Coordinate and integrate water resource management.	n/a	^م ِّn/a	n/a	3
	Provide stewardship of the Region's water dependent natural resources through enhancement of amenities and infrastructure. Objective: Create habitat, open space, and water-based recreational opportunities in the Region.	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a	n/a	3
	Manage flood and storm waters to reduce flood risk and water quality impacts. Objective: Install or optimize water monitoring to effectively manage storm water in the Region. Obtain, manage, and assess water resources data and information.	n ta n ta	n/a	n/a	3
Factors	Relation to Resource Management Strategies (How well does the project contribute to the diversification of the water management portfolio?)	4	15	2	2
	Benefits to DAC Water Issues (How well does the project help address critical water related needs of DACs within the IRWM region?)	7	11	3	2
	Cost Effectiveness and Economic Feasibility (Is the project cost effective? How economically feasible is the project? http://www.water.ca.gov/economics/downloads/Guidebook_June_08/EconGuidebook.pdf)	1	9	11	2.5
	Timeliness - Project Status (Is the project ready to proceed?) 0 = No expected start date provided. 1 = Expected to start greater than 6 years from now 2 = Expected to start 3-6 years from now 3 = Expected to start 1-3 years from now 4 = Expected to start within 1 year from now 5 = Already Started	2	9	10	2.5
	Technical Feasibility of Project (In examining the methods, materials, or equipment used in the project, are there sufficient data to indicate the project will result in a successful outcome?	0	3	18	3
	Permitting (Status of Permitting)	6	11	4	2
	Project Costs and Funding (Are project costs developed and reasonable? Is there a funding plan?)	1	9	10	2.5
	Provides multiple benefits	4	11	6	2
	Integration with local land use planning	6	12	3	2
	Provides regional benefits	1	10	10	2.5
Requirements	Environmental Justice (How well does the project redress inequitable distribution of environmental burdens (and access to environmental goods?)	5	13	3	2
	State Program Preferences (How well does the project meet State Program Preferences DWR Guidelines Section F?)	1	12	8	2
	Statewide Priorities Def: How well does the project meet listed statewide priorities (DWR Guidelines Table 1).	2	7	11	2.5
	Climate Change Adaption (How well does the project adapt to climate change?)	5	15	1	2
	Greenhouse Gas Emissions Contribution- Project (How well does the project assist in reducting GHG emission?)	6	14	1	2
	Greenhouse Gas Emissions -Support to Renewable Energy (How well does the project support renewable energy for the purposes of reducing GHG emsisions?)	6	13	2	2

I